

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/691,218	10/22/2003	Phillip John Megli	61917-013000	8338
7590 04/20/2005			EXAMINER	
John G. Bisbikis			NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J	
Suite 4400 227 West Monr	oe Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606			3671	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/691,218	MEGLI, PHILLIP JOHN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher J. Novosad	3671		

before the riling of all Appeal brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher J. Novosad	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	olianaa with 27 CED 41 27 must ba	filed within two month	o of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •	duales as also lifeties i				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or			ine issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the frame 20 is separately indirectly rotatably attached to pat proclude other planeaute from reading on the registed.	the leveling carriage 14. The fact					
not preclude other elements from reading on the recited elements. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s),						
13. Other:						
		Cate !!	and,			
		Christopher Novo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	sad			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)